

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63635 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-205 Year-2024 Thana- MURLIGANJ District- Madhepura

Suman Kumar S/o Gajendra Mandal @ Rajendra Mandal R/o Village -
Bhatkhoda, Ward no. 1, P.S - Murliganj, District - Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Gayatri Devi W/o Dilip Mandal R/o Village - Borarahi Ward no. 3, P.S -
Janki Nagar, District - Purnea

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amarnath Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 10-10-2025 Heard Mr. Amarnath Jha, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, learned APP for the

State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Murliganj P.S. Case No. 205 of 2024 corresponding to
POCSO Case No. 78 of 2024 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 363, 366(A), 326, 307, 376, 511 and
302 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code was earlier
rejected by this Court vide order dated 28.01.2025 with a liberty
to renew his prayer for bail after six months if the trial is not
concluded.

3. A report has been called from the trial court and



from perusal of which, it is clear that the case is pending for evidence of the prosecution witnesses.

4. The allegation against the petitioner is that he took the victim to the house of Lalan Mandal, locked her in a room and attempted to commit rape. When he was unable to commit rape, he attempted to kill her through electric current. After this, he also threw hot water and and ultimately threw her on the railway station considering dead. Due to above burn injury, she died during treatment. The victim has died due to electrocution and septicemia.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that the fact is that the victim was having outside marriage with the petitioner and that she was taking selfie riding over a goods train, due to which she was electrocuted. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 04.07.2024.

6. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and submits that the main thrust of allegation is against this petitioner.



7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage, **however the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after six months if the trial is not concluded and the learned trial court is directed to conclude the trial within six months having regard to the provisions of POCSO Act.**

8. Accordingly, the present bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

