

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55755 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-277 Year-2024 Thana- TEKARI District- Gaya

Dinesh Chaudhary, aged about 50 years, male, S/O Late Rambriksh Chaudhary @ Late Ram Brichh Chaudhary, resident of Village - Mokarimchak, P.S - Tekari, District - Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 09-09-2025 Heard Mr. Vinod Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Ajit Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with Tekari P.S. Case No. 277 of 2024, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 325, 307, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, petitioner along with other co-accused persons, with an intention to kill, had abused and assaulted the informant and his son, due to which, informant had sustained head injury.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and has falsely



been implicated in the present case. The alleged incidence occurred on the spur of the moment leading to fight between the petitioner and the informant side. The doctor has opined the injury sustained by the informant to be simple in nature. Petitioner has clean antecedent. On these grounds, petitioner seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, the fact that the alleged incidence occurred on the spur of the moment leading to fight between the petitioner and the informant side. The doctor has opined the injury sustained by the informant to be simple in nature as would appear from the impugned order dated 03.07.2025. Petitioner has clean antecedent. I am of the opinion that petitioner has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-VI, Gaya, in connection with Tekari P.S. Case No. 277 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioner as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

