

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56415 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-475 Year-2024 Thana- DHAKA District- East Champaran

Seema Singh W/o- Arun Kumar Singh @ Arun Singh Resident of Village-
Bhagwatpur Bhaluwahiya PS- Shikarganj District-East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Karandeep Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Ataur Rahman, A.P.P

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER**

2 27-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Dhaka P.S. Case No. 475 of 2024 lodged on 12.11.2024, for the offence punishable under Sections 336(3), 338, 318(4), 308(2), 303(2), 351(2) & 3((5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the Court of Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against four named accused persons including the present petitioner. It has been alleged in the FIR that the forged documents have been created and accused persons executed the sale deed in favour of



the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel submits that the petitioner was not aware about any suit with regard to the land in question as alleged in the FIR and she is a bonafide purchaser to the land in question. Counsel submits that the petitioner is a lady aged about 56 years and has been falsely implicated in this case. Counsel further submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the Sessions Judge has acknowledged in the rejection order that the brother of the informant and his son Rajesh Kumar executed a sale deed in criminal conspiracy with others in favour of the petitioner.

6. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected with liberty granted that if, petitioner surrenders before the concerned Trial Court within a period of six weeks from today then in that case, the Trial Court is directed to pass order on her surrender-cum-bail application on the same day, without being prejudice that the anticipatory bail of the petitioner has been



rejected by this Court and the Trial Court shall pass order on the
merit of this case.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

