

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55786 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-120 Year-2024 Thana- DIGHALBANK District- Kishanganj

Aman Kumar S/O Sunil Yadav R/O Jadia, P.S.- Jadia, Dist.- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 18-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Dighalbank P.S. Case No. 120 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 311, 312 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 read with Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, the informant and his colleagues were transporting Rs. 8,40,000/- to SBI from a Micro Finance Company, in the meantime, three unknown miscreants on a motorcycle attempted to snatch the cash. Failing to do so, they shot Uttam Barmand and fired at Dilip Singh, who escaped injury. The assailants then fled with the cash from the spot.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement made by co-accused, namely, Arvind Kumar and the same has got no evidentiary value. It is next submitted that T.I. parade has not been conducted in this case. The petitioner is in custody since 24.06.2025 and has got one criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that other co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 03.03.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 84362 of 2024.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail *after framing*



of charge, if not already framed on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Dighalbank P.S. Case No. 120 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

- (I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.
- (II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

