

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60309 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-225 Year-2024 Thana- MADHUBANI TOWN District-
Madhubani

=====

Rajan Kumar Jha S/o Sohan Jha R/o Village- Thadi, Ward NO 5, PS-
Andhrathadi, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 29-08-2025 Heard Mr. Rakesh Singh, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, learned APP for

the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Madhubani Town P.S. Case No. 225 of 2024 registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 302, 120(B) of the Indian
Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act which was **earlier**
the bail of this petitioner was rejected by this Court *vide*
order dated 24.01.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 64589 of 2024
with an observation that the petitioner is at liberty to renew
his prayer for bail after six months.

3. The case of the prosecution is that while the
informant was cooking food at her house, she heard the sound of



firing when she came out from her house, she found that her husband has received gun shot injury and was in a pool of blood. She took her husband to the hospital with the help of the neighbourers where her husband was declared dead. It has further been alleged by the informant that her husband was working in Maharani Honda Agency from last ten years as an Accountant. Her husband has told her two-three days prior to the occurrence that one of his colleagues Raj Kumar Thakur has defalcated Rs. 1 lakh and eighty thousand regarding which he had knowledge and told him to disclose it to the owner. He has promised to give share in that defalcated money but when the husband of the informant denied, Raj Kumar Thakur has threatened him of dire consequences. Informant believes that her husband was killed by Raj Kumar Thakur by hatching a conspiracy.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the name of this petitioner has surfaced in the confessional statement of Raj Kumar Thakur and he was arrested at a place which was disclosed by Raj Kumar Thakur. It has also been argued by the learned counsel for the petitioner that except the confessional statement, only mobile was recovered from this petitioner. He further submits that till today only four witnesses



have been examined and only evidence against the petitioner is that of confessional statement and location tower. Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 31.05.2024.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-III, Madhubani in connection with Madhubani Town P.S. Case No. 225 of 2024.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

