

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2447 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19751 of 2019

=====

Sudha Kumari Wife of Shri Rajendra Sharma, Resident of Village - Bhindaspur, P.S. - Belaganj, District - Gaya at present residing at Mohalla - Chanakyapuri, MIG- 23, P.S. Rampur, District - Gaya at presently posted and working as Headmaster in Kanya Middle School, Kewali Nagar Prakhand, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Additional Chief Secretary-cum the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna namely Mr. Sanjay Kumar.
3. The Director, Primary Education Bihar, Patna namely Mr. Nityanand Lal.
4. The Regional Deputy Director of Education Magadh Division, Gaya namely Mr. Kameshwar Kamati.
5. The District Education Officer-cum-the Chairman District Elementary Teachers Promotion and Transfer Committee, Gaya namely Md. Mustafa Hussain.
6. The District Education Officer Gaya namely Md. Mustafa Hussain.
7. The District Program Officer (Establishment)-cum-the Chairman District Elementary Teachers Promotion Committee, Gaya namely Mr. Ranjeet Paswan.
8. The District Program Officer (Establishment) Gaya namely Mr. Ranjeet Paswan.
9. The Block Education Officer, Imamganj District - Gaya namely Mr. Rajballav Prasad.
10. The Block Education Officer, Tekari District Gaya namely Mr. Akhilesh Kumar.
11. The Block Education Officer Nagar Parkhand, District Gaya namely Uma Shankar Singh.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gajendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Smt. Binita Singh SC-28

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

3 31-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the opposite parties.



2. Learned counsel for the opposite parties submits that the order dated 25-09-2019 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 19751 of 2019 (Annexure-2), which is the subject matter of the present contempt petition, has already been duly complied with.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the order under contempt has now been fully complied with, he seeks permission to withdraw the present contempt petition as it has become infructuous.

4. Permission, as prayed for, is granted.

5. Accordingly, the present M.J.C. application is dismissed as withdrawn, having become infructuous.

(Khatim Reza, J)

shyambihari/-

U			
---	--	--	--

