

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58015 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-76 Year-2024 Thana- PUPRI District- Sitamarhi

Vikash Kumar S/O Shyambabu Chaudhary R/O Village- Bhadiyan, PS-
Nanpur, Distt- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pintu Kumar Patel, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 22-08-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail, who is in custody in connection with Pupri P.S. Case No. 76 of 2024, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 414, 34, 489-C, 489-D, 489-E of the Indian Penal Code.

3. This is the second attempt made on behalf of the petitioner, as earlier, the prayer for bail of the petitioner was turned down by this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 41128 of 2024 dated 29.11.2024, considering the materials available on record that the counterfeit currency notes along with coupon notes were recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. However, while negating the prayer for bail of the petitioner, this Court has accorded liberty to the petitioner to renew his prayer for bail after framing of the charge.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that



the charges have already been framed on 28.05.2025 and, hence, the present application. It has further been apprised to this Court that one identically situated person, namely, Avnit Kumar, whose prayer for bail was also negated along with the petitioner *vide* order dated 29.11.2024, has been allowed the privilege of regular bail upon framing of the charge by this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 46836 of 2025 dated 18.07.2025.

5. On the other hand, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the bail application and submitted that the materials available on record clearly suggest that the counterfeit currency notes have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

6. Having considered the submissions set-forth by learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the earlier observation of this Court, coupled with the fact that the charges have already been framed and co-accused person has also been allowed the privilege of regular bail, let the petitioner, named above, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional District Judge-III, Sitamarhi in connection with Pupri P.S. Case No. 76 of 2024, subject to the condition that one



of the bailors will be the close relatives of the petitioner with further conditions which are as follows:-

(i) The petitioner will cooperate in conclusion of the trial.

(ii) He will remain present on each and every date of trial till disposal of the case.

(iii) He will not try to tamper with the evidence or intimidate the witnesses to delay the disposal of trial.

(iv) In the event of default of two consecutive dates without any cogent reason, his bail bonds will liable to be cancelled.

(v) The court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case, at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take immediate step for cancelling the bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds, in terms of the above-mentioned order, shall not be delayed for this purpose or in the name of verification.

(Harish Kumar, J)

saauravkrsinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

