

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.4022 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-132 Year-2024 Thana- SURYAGARHA District- Lakhisarai

Tuntun Ram S/o- Arjun Ram Village- Nawabganj, P. S- Surajgarha, District-
Lakhisarai.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Budhan Kumar Son of Awadh Ram Village- Nawabganj Ps- Surajgarha Dist-
Lakhisarai

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr.Rajesh Kumar, Adv
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Binay Krishna, Spl. PP
		Mr. Rajive Ranjan Singh, Adv

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 02-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned
Spl. P.P. for the State, Shri Binay Krishna and the learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the
Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of
Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST
Act”) against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide
order dated 20-7-2024 in A.B.P. No. 497 of 2024 passed by the
learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge
S.C./S.T. (POA) Act, Lakhisarai in connection with Surajgarha
P.S. Case No. 132 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 147, 149, 341, 323, 307, 302, 504, 506 of the



Indian Penal Code as well as Sections 3(1)(r)/3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) of the SC/ST Act.

3. Learned counsel for the informant submits that Gautam Kumar and Aman Kumar had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 4070 of 2024 and Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 3442 of 2024 respectively. It is next submitted that the appeals of the aforesaid appellants were dismissed, it is also submitted that the case of the appellant is on a similar footing.

4. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties in the nature of allegation as alleged in the FIR, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the appellant as the informant alleges that the deceased on account of assault died and the appellant was also present at the place of occurrence, further the investigation in the case against the appellant is continuing.

5. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

