

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57423 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-160 Year-2024 Thana- JOGBANI District- Araria

=====

Mohammad Sabir @ Md. Sabir S/O Md. Abdul Rajjak Resident of village -
Kushmaha, Ward No.4, P.S. - Jogbani, District - Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arun Kumar Pandey

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 15-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Jogbani P.S. Case No. 160 of 2024 dated 23.07.2024 registered for the offence/s punishable u/ss 20(b)(ii)(C) of the NDPS Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 34 kgs. Ganja was recovered from the house of the petitioner and the co-accused namely Md. Harun and Md. Mozim and 34 kgs. Ganja was also recovered from the house of the co-accused, Md. Irshad.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated



in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 23.07.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner. Earlier the regular bail petition of the petitioner was rejected by this Court vide order dated 17.02.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 87196/2024. It is further submitted that the seized contraband is commercial quantity i.e. 34 kgs. Ganja. The petitioner had no valid authorization for keeping the said contraband. The said offence is related to the offence of NDPS Act and the Drug and Cosmetic Act.

6. As per Section 37 of the N.D.P.S. Act, the two conditions are that the Court should be satisfied with :-

(i) There are reasonable grounds for believing that the accused is not guilty of such offence; and

(ii) He is not likely to commit any offence while on bail.

7. If either of these two conditions is not satisfied, the bar operates and the accused cannot be released on bail.



The Court is of the opinion that the parameters of bail available under Section 37 of the Act have not satisfied in the facts of the instant case. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Narcotics Control Bureau v. Mohit Aggarwal 2022 SCC OnLine SC 891* has held that “*The length of the period of his custody or the fact that the charge-sheet has been filed and the trial has commenced are by themselves not considerations that can be treated as persuasive grounds for granting relief to the respondent under Section 37 of the N.D.P.S. Act.*”

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the recovery of commercial quantity of Ganja i.e. 34 kgs. from the conscious possession of the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

9. This application stands rejected.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

