

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54965 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-210 Year-2025 Thana- TRIVENIGANJ District- Supaul

Ramesh Bhagat S/o Late Jagdish Bhagat R/o Village- Daparkha, P.S.-
Triveniganj, District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nityanand Tiwary, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 19-08-2025 Heard Mr. Arun, learned counsel for the petitioner
and Mr. Nityanand Tiwary, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 210 of 2025, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 352, , 351(2), 109(1), 303(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner along with other co-accused persons started ploughing the field of the informant. It is further alleged that when father-in-law of the informant intervened in the matter, then the petitioner gave an order to kill informant's father-in-law and bury him in the



land, thereafter co-accused fired on his head. They also misbehaved with the informant and tried to kill her husband but on seeing the villagers coming towards them, they fled away from the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the allegation levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature and no specific allegation of firing or assault has been attributed against the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner was only a order giver. Specific allegation of firing is against co-accused Shambhu Bhagat. The petitioner is in custody since 29.04.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 210 of 2025.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

