

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55484 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2025 Thana- PANDARAK District- Patna

Ranju Devi W/o Sunil Yadav @ Halwa R/o Village - Gowasa - Sheikhpura,
Gherapar, P.S- Pandarak, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 12-08-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is in custody in connection with Pandarak P.S. Case No. 92 of 2025 for the offence punishable under Sections 103 and 3(5) of the B.N.S. lodged on 13.05.2025 by the informant, Sado Devi.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that eight named and four to five unknown persons surrounded her elder son, Arun Yadav and thereafter, co-accused, Mukesh Yadav and Hareram Yadav opened fire causing injury in the head and stomach, as a result, he fell down and died instantly. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she is a lady, number of persons have been rounded of whereas there is specific allegation against Mukesh Yadav and Hareram Yadav



and she is in custody since 14.05.2025 having no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer for bail submitting that her name has also cropped up in the FIR though concede that main assailants were Mukesh Yadav and Hareram Yadav.

6. Taking into account the submissions of the parties as also that allegation is mainly on Mukesh Yadav and Hareram Yadav, the petitioner is a lady and is in custody since 14.05.2025 having no criminal antecedent, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend her the privilege of bail with conditions.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District & Additional Sessions Judge, I, Barh, Patna in connection with Pandarak P.S. Case No. 92 of 2025 subject to the following conditions:

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of her



bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of her bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

