

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56775 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-176 Year-2024 Thana- BARIYARPUR District- Munger

Ranjan Kumar @ Rajan Kumar S/O Ram Dilip Paswan R/O Village- Post
Office Tola Pariya, P.S- Bariyarpur, Distt.- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravish Mishra, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Syed Ehteshamuddin, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 10-09-2025 Heard Mr. Ravish Mishra, learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP representing the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Bariyarpur P.S. Case No. 176 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 109, 125, 303(2), 324(4) and 324(5) of the B.N.S., lodged on 20.06.2024 by the informant Bhuneshwar Prasad Sah.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that while sitting in his sweet shop, the accused persons entered, demanded money and allegation is that one of them opened fire which missed him. The other accused persons starting pelting stones on his shop, one of which hit his head and was injured. Further allegation is that they took away Rs.5,000/- from the cash counter. This led to the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he



has not opened fire and the person apprehended Praful Kumar in his confessional statement named this petitioner as the person who was accompanying him whereas opening of fire is attributed to Azad Kumar.

5. Learned APP on the other hand opposes the prayer submitting that a bare perusal of the paragraph no.3 of the petition would show that the petitioner is accused in multiple cases, two of which is under section 395/397 of the Indian Penal Code.

6. Considering the allegation that has come, the way chaos is being created in the society, coupled with the fact that this petitioner has criminal antecedent, no relief can be extended to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

8. However, if the petitioner surrenders within a period of four weeks, files bail application, the court concerned shall consider the matter and dispose it of preferably on the same day.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

