

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55623 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3 Year-2025 Thana- Karnamepur District- Bhojpur

Sonu Singh S/O Kanhiya Singh @ Kanhiya Rai Resident of Village-
Ishwarpura, P.S.- Karnamepur, District- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajani Ranjan Pd. Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.B.N. Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 08-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 126 (2), 109 (2), 352, 351 (2) and 3 (5) of the BNS.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has given indiscriminate ‘*knife*’ blows to the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in connection with the present case. It is further submitted that a bare perusal of the injury report, it is clear that the doctor has found fractures, which according to the defence, are not possible to be caused by a ‘*knife*’.

5. From perusal of the injury report, it is also clear



that the informant has sustained the following injuries:

1. Lacerated wound measuring 1/2" x 1/2" skin deep in the frontal area of neck, irregular in margin streak red in colour;

2. Lacerated wound measuring 1/2" x 1/2" skin deep on left side of chin, clean cut margin, streak red in colour;

3. Lacerated wound 1" x 1/2" skin deep left side of chest, clean cut margin, streak red in colour and;

4. Lacerated wound measuring 1" x 1/2" skin deep over left side of upper back, clean cut margin, streak red in colour.

5. The doctor has further opined that fracture of the odontoid process of C2 vertebra, maintained atlanto-dental interval, and fracture of the anterior and posterior arch of C1 vertebra along with fracture of the right lateral mass. The doctor has mentioned that the weapon used is a sharp-cutting and the nature of injuries are grievous in nature.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the occurrence took place on 16.01.2025 whereas the FIR lodged on 19.01.2025. There is delay of three days in lodging of the FIR. It is further submitted that in this case victim himself is the informant and had sustained serious injuries; hence the delay itself explained.



7. Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature and gravity of the allegations levelled against the petitioner, I am not inclined to extend the privilege of bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the bail application of the petitioner stands rejected.

9. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after six months, if the trial is not conclude by then. The trial Court is further directed to expedite the trial.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Jagdish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

