

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58623 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-163 Year-2024 Thana- MADHEPURA COMPALINT CASE
District- Madhepura

-
1. Meena Kumari @ Mina Kumari W/o- Umanand Choudhary @ Umanand Chaudhary Village- Sukhasan, Police Station- Kumarkhand, Dist- Madhepura, and at present House No. 129, Ward No. 14, Bypass Road, Purandaha, Police Station- Deoghar, Dist- Deoghar
 2. Umanand Choudhary @ Umanand Chaudhary S/o- Late Bisheshwar Chaudhary Village- Sukhasan, Police Station- Kumarkhand, Dist- Madhepura, and at present House No. 129, Ward No. 14, Bypass Road, Purandaha, Police Station- Deoghar, Dist- Deoghar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Smt. Gunjan W/o- Sumit Prakash Village- Sukhasan, Police Station- Kumarkhand, Dist- Madhepura, presently residing at village- Biharganj, PS- Biharganj, Dist- Madhepura

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Kumar Ravish, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Rajendra Prasad Nat, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 08-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. Petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 498A, 323, 342, 120B, 328, 307 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code as well as under Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and being mother-in-law and father-in-law have been



falsely implicated in the instant case by the complainant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation, as alleged in the complaint, it would manifest that the complainant alleges that she was married to Sumit on 13.12.2021 and after marriage, the accused persons started demanding dowry and for non-fulfillment of the demand, the complainant was subjected to cruelty by her husband including her in-laws. It is next submitted that whenever such dispute arises in between the husband and the wife, false case alleging torture for dowry demand is instituted implicating all the family members in a mechanical manner.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and taking into consideration the fact that petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law, let petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand)** each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in



connection with Complaint Case No. 163 of 2024, subject to the
conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

