

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13364 of 2024

=====

Ramchandra Prasad Yadav Son of Biltu Ray, resident of village- Chandi Rajwara, P.S. Bela, District- Sitamarhi, presently residing at Chak Mahila, Ward No. 16, near State Boring, P.O. Sitamarhi, P.S. Punaura, District - Sitamarhi, the retired Incharge Headmaster, Shree Lakshmi High Secondary School, Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi, District - Sitamarhi.
4. The Treasury Officer, Sitamarhi, District - Sitamarhi.
5. The Senior Treasury Officer, Sitamarhi, District - Sitamarhi.
6. The District Education Officer, Sitamarhi, District - Sitamarhi.
7. The District Programme Officer (Estasblishment), Sitamarhi, District - Sitamarhi.
8. The Incharge Headmaster, Shree Lakshmi High Secondary School, Sitamarhi.
9. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar, AC to GP- 4
For the AG, Bihar	:	Mr. Vivekanand Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 09-07-2025

Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking a direction upon the respondent authorities, particularly, respondent no.7 to issue “No Objection Certificate” in his favour.



3. Various submissions have been made, including the submissions with regard to the *mala fide* on the part of respondent no.7.

4. A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent no.7 with a categorical averments that the deponent has already issued “No Dues Certificate” and sent it to the Treasury Officer, Sitamarhi vide letter no. 4959 dated 24.12.2024.

5. Considering the averments made in the counter affidavit, the present writ petition stands disposed of with a direction to the Treasury Officer, Sitamarhi to ensure payment of all the admissible sanctioned benefits, if the same has not been done.

6. Needless to observe that in case any of the admissible retiral benefits and other dues have not been paid to the petitioner, the petitioner is at liberty to approach before the competent authority.

7. The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	10.07.2025
Transmission Date	NA

