

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60650 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-509 Year-2024 Thana- BAHADURPUR District- Darbhanga

Chandra Bhushan Yadav @ Jhagru Yadav S/o Late Rajendra Prasad Yadav @
Rajendra Yadav Resident of Village- Basudevpur, Police Station- Sonaki
(O.P), and District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nilendu Kumar Choudhary, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 26-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for
the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Bahadurpur P.S. Case No. 509 of 2024, instituted for the
offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 3(5) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
along with other co-accused persons have committed murder of
informant's brother by firing.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No
incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious



possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no manner of concern with the water tank constructed under Nul Jal Yojna nor this petitioner was caretaker of the said water tank. The petitioner has been falsely implicated on the basis of suspicion as also on account of political rivalry in between the parties. The petitioner is in custody since 30.05.2025 and has got six criminal antecedents.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is named in the FIR and it is specifically alleged against the petitioner that he along with other co-accused persons have killed informant's brother. During investigation, witnesses mentioned in paragraph nos. 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 of the case diary have supported the prosecution story. It is further submitted that the co-accused in his confessional statement has alleged that it is the petitioner who has shot fire. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail. Bail of other co-accused has been rejected by this Court vide order dated 23-07-2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 22320 of 2025.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence,
this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is *rejected*. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

