

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56337 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-220 Year-2025 Thana- RAMGARH District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

1. Ajay Bind, age about 32 Ys Male, S/o Santu Bind
2. Jhakhari Bind @ Ikhari Bind @ Ikari, age about 50 Ys Male, son of Bikram Bind
3. Sarikha Bind @ Sarikha, age about 45 Ys Male, S/o Krit Bind
4. Bhili Bind @Jhillu, age about 45 Ys Male, S/o Santu Bind
5. Rajesh Bind @ Rajesh Kumar Bind, age about 43 Ys Male, S/o Bikram Bind
6. Tinku Bind @ Tinku, age about 30 Ys Male, S/o Santu Bind
7. Pintu Bind, age about 35Ys Male, S/o Santu Bind
All R/o Village Baijnath, P.S. - Ramgarh, District Kaimur at Bhabhua

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tribhuwan Narayan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 27-08-2025 Heard Mr. Tribhuwan Narayan, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Anil Kumar,

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection
with Ramgarh P.S. Case No. 220 of 2025, registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 109(1),
351(2), 190 and 191(2) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, petitioners
along with other accused persons, had entered into the house of



the informant and had assaulted her and her family members causing injury.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. General and omnibus allegation has been levelled against the petitioners. There is case and counter case between the parties arising out of the same incidence. Injury sustained by the son of the informant has been found to be simple in nature. Petitioners have clean antecedent. On these grounds, petitioners seek to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, the fact that general and omnibus allegation has been levelled against the petitioners. There is case and counter case between the parties arising out of the same incidence. Injury sustained by the son of the informant has been opined by the doctor to be simple in nature. Petitioners have clean antecedent. I am of the opinion that petitioners have, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be



released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.- 1st, Mohania, Kaimur at Bhabua, in connection with Ramgarh P.S. Case No. 220 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioners as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

