

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1587 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-653 Year-2022 Thana- MINAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

1. Lal Babu Sahni S/O- Late Sukan Sahni.
 2. Shyam Sahni S/O- Late Sukan Sahni.
 3. Ranjeet Sahni S/O- Late Sukan Sahni
- All are resident of village- Dharampur, P.S.- Meenapur, Dist.- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The Director General Of Police Bihar, Patna. Bihar, Patna.
3. The Inspector General Of Police, Tirhut Range Muzaffarpur. Bihar, Muzaffarpur
4. The Superintendent Of Police, Muzaffarpur Bihar, Muzaffarpur
5. The Dy. Superintendent Of Police Muzaffarpur (East) Bihar, Muzaffarpur.
6. The Inspector Of Police, Muzaffarpur, Dist.- Muzaffarpur. Bihar, Muzaffarpur
7. Rajesh Kumar The Officer-In-Charge, P.S.- Minapur, Dist.- Muzaffarpur Bihar, Muzaffarpur.
8. Mithilesh Kumar Singh, Asi Police Station Minapur, Dist.- Muzaffarpur Bihar, Muzaffarpur
9. Sambhu Sahni S/O- Late Ramdeo Sahani R/O- Village- Dharmpur, P.S.- Meenapur, Dist.- Muzaffarpur
10. Vidyanand Kumar S/O- Indra Sahni R/O- Village- Dharmpur, P.S.- Meenapur, Dist.- Muzaffarpur

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rakesh Ranjan, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Md. Nadim Seraj, G.P.-5
		Mr. Iqbal Asif Niazi, A.C. to G.P.-5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 10-04-2025 By way of this application, the petitioners have
prayed for the following reliefs:-

“(a) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the



nature of certiorari for quashing the F.I.R. bearing Meenapur P.S. Case No.653 of 2022 dated 31.12.2022 attributing offences under section 379 of the Indian Penal Code.

(b) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus, commanding and directing the respondent authority to make the proper verification to genuineness of signature put on written statement of the informant and if the signature of the informant is found forged then take appropriate action against the respondents.”

2. As per the F.I.R. allegation against the petitioners is that they have stolen the motorcycle of the informant and are using the same.

3. From reading of the F.I.R., cognizable offence are made out against the petitioners. In my opinion, the F.I.R. cannot be quashed considering the defence of the petitioners. Accordingly, this criminal writ application is dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

pawan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

