

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54874 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-146 Year-2025 Thana- PATAHI District- East Champaran

1. Rambha Devi S/o Ram Babu Mahto Resident of Vill - Rampur Manorath, P.S. - Patahi, District - East Champaran
2. Nibha Devi W/o Ram Pravesh Mahto Resident of Vill - Rampur Manorath, P.S. - Patahi, District - East Champaran
3. Kajal Kumari D/o Ram Babu Mahto Resident of Vill - Rampur Manorath, P.S. - Patahi, District - East Champaran
4. Raushan Kumar @ Rausan Kumar S/o Ram Babu Mahto Resident of Vill - Rampur Manorath, P.S. - Patahi, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Madhurendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Rajendra Singh, A.P.P.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Mr. Hemant Ray, Advocates

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 15-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, informant
and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 80, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita to which Section 80(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita was added later on.

3. Prosecution case, in brief, is that marriage of the daughter of informant was solemnized with co-accused Ram Parkishan Mahto in the year 2023. Thereafter, it is alleged that these petitioners, along with other F.I.R. named accused



persons, killed the daughter of informant due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry of Rs. 1 lac cash and one Apache motorcycle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioner no. 1 is mother-in-law, petitioner nos. 2 & 3 are sisters-in-law and petitioner no. 4 is brother-in-law of the deceased and they are simply victims of over-implications. Allegation against petitioners is general and omnibus. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and they are not concerned with family affairs of the deceased. Moreover, thrust of accusation is against husband of the deceased who is already in custody since 08.09.2025. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. Considering the fact that husband of the deceased is already in custody, this anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that let the above named petitioners in the event of their arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class,
East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Patahi P. S. Case
No. 146 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under
Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita,
2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

