

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54858 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-218 Year-2025 Thana- SHEOHAR District- Sheohar

Niraj Singh son of Late Harinarayan Singh Village -Khairwadarp, Nagar
Parishad Sheohar, Ward No 13, PS- Sheohar Distt- Sheohar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ramakant Yadav, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Dilip Kumar No. 1, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Dinesh Jha, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 19-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Shoehar P.S. Case No. 218 of 2025, instituted for the offences
punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 74, 352,
351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
along with other co-accused persons assaulted the informant and
his son due to which they sustained injuries. It is further alleged
that the petitioner has assaulted son of the informant by means
of iron rod.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the allegation levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. There is admitted land dispute between the parties. It is further submitted that there is case and counter case between the parties. The petitioner is in custody since 25.06.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of assaulting informant's son by means of iron rod on his head due to which he sustained injury. It is further submitted that the injury received by the son of the informant is grievous in nature. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.



7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

