

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53831 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-948 Year-2023 Thana- BARACHATTI District- Gaya

Mantu Singh @ Mantu Kumar S/O Late Shambhu Singh @ Shambhu Prasad
R/O Village-B.T. Bigha, Barma, P.S-Sherghatti, Distt.- Gaya and also at Gola
Bazar, Sherghatti, P.S- Sherghatti, Distt.-Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 04-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Barachatti P.S. Case No. 948 of 2023 instituted for the offences
under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to
the petitioner was rejected by this Court vide order dated
28.02.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 14223 of 2025. Thereafter,
the petitioner moved before Hon'ble the Supreme Court for
grant of anticipatory bail which was disposed of vide order
dated 28.04.2025 in SLP (Crl.) No. 6129 of 2025 and the
petitioner was directed to surrender before the learned court
below and pray for regular bail.



4. Prosecution case, in short, is that two unknown miscreants looted informant's car, cash amounting to Rs. 2,25,000/- and a mobile phone.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused Prakash Kumar. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that T.I.P. has not been conducted till date. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner has got no concern with the looted articles. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is in custody since 28.05.2025 and has no criminal antecedent. There is no compliance of Section 100 of the Cr.P.C. The co-accused person has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 19.02.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 8328 of 2024.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



8. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Barachatti P.S. Case No. 948 of 2023.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

