

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55901 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-15 Year-2017 Thana- N.C.B (GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL)  
District- Vaishali

=====

Anil Singh @ Anil Kumar Singh @ Anil Kumar son of Baidyanath Singh  
Resident Of Village -Balatand, Ward No 03, Balatanr, Ps- Bidupur Dist  
-Vaishali At Hajipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Union of India through intelligence Officer, Narcotics control Bureau, Patna,  
Patna bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                             |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Sachin Kumar, Advocate  |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP |
| For the UOI              | : | Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, CGC   |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      14-11-2025                      Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is in judicial custody in connection with C2A Case No. 14 of 2017 for the offence punishable under Sections 8(C), 20(b) (ii) (c), 25 and 29 of N.D.P.S. Act, 1985 lodged on 26.07.2017.

3. Earlier, the bail application of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 02.12.2024 in Cr. Misc. No. 62484 of 2024.

4. As per the prosecution story, during the joint operation, a truck was intercepted and in between, the secret pockets in the truck, 780 kgs 'ganja' recovered/seized. This led to the FIR.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has remained in custody for eight years and the trial has still not been



concluded.

6. Learned counsel for the Narcotics Control Bureau, Patna (for brevity ‘the NCB’) submits that they are ensuring that the trial is concluded at an earliest and out of sixteen witnesses, three are to be examined.

7. On the earlier occasion also, this Court had taken note of the fact that due to the long custody of the petitioner, ‘the NCB’ should ensure early completion of the trial as the complaint of the petitioner through his learned counsel was that despite being a Government Agency, they are delaying the process.

8. In that background, though the prayer of the petitioner stands rejected, the Trial Court is directed to ensure that the trial is expedited and the same is taken to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

9. Failure to do so, it shall be submitting a report in the month of July, 2026 on the reasons for the non-completion of the trial.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Adnan/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

