

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54057 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-569 Year-2025 Thana- DANAPUR District- Patna

=====

Satyendra Prasad S/o Dinesh Prasad R/o Village - Meer Bigha, P.S -
Warisaliganj, District - Nawada, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Devendra Prasad Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Veerendra Narayan, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 18-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Danapur P.S. Case No. 569 of 2025 instituted for the offences
under Sections 303(2), 316(2), 318(2), 111(2) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that, police, on the
basis of information that some interstate cyber criminals were
seen in Danapur area, started intensive checking and
apprehended one accused person namely, Niranjana Kumar. It is
further alleged that from his backpack, several cheque books,
ATM Cards of different banks, Paytm Scanner sound box and
Paytm Cards etc. were recovered and on interrogation, he



further disclosed the names of the other members of the gang including the name of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. The name of the petitioner transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused Niranjana Kumar who was apprehended on the spot. The seized ATM Cards belong to the petitioner and his wife. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 03.06.2025 and has no criminal antecedent. The co-accused person has already been granted bail by a coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 11.08.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 53413 of 2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, claim based on parity as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail, ***after framing***



of charge, if not already framed, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 569 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

