

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55460 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-112 Year-2025 Thana- PARASBIGHA District- Jehanabad

1. Jashoda Devi W/o Binod Yadav Resident Of Village- Shahpur, P.S-Parasbigha, Dist- Jehanabad
2. Rajesh Kumar S/o Binod Yadav Resident Of Village- Shahpur, P.S-Parasbigha, Dist- Jehanabad
3. Priyanka Kumari W/o Rajesh Kumar Resident Of Village- Shahpur, P.S-Parasbigha, Dist- Jehanabad
4. Anita Kumari W/o Deepak Kumar R/o vill - Regania Dih, P.s. - Kinjar, Distt.- Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kamata Yadav S/o Deochan Yadav R/o vill - Jagadishpur Lilay, P.S.-Parasbigha, Distt.- Jehanabad

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Prasad Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ahmad Ali, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 15-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 80(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Prosecution case, in brief, is that marriage of the daughter of informant was solemnized with co-accused Ranjan Kumar in the year 2022 and thereafter it is alleged that all the F.I.R. named accused persons including these petitioners killed



the daughter of informant due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioner no. 1 is mother-in-law, petitioner no. 2 is brother-in-law and petitioner no. 3 is daughter-in-law and petitioner no. 4 is *Gotni* of the deceased and they are simply victims of over-implications. Petitioners are victims of over implication. Allegation against petitioners is general and omnibus. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and they are not concerned with family affairs of the deceased. Moreover, thrust of accusation is against husband of the deceased who is already in custody since 24.07.2025. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. Considering the fact that husband of the deceased is already in custody, this anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that let the above named petitioners in the event of their arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class,



Jehanabad in connection with Parasbigaha P. S. Case No. 112 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

