

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55414 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-6 Year-2025 Thana- JADIA District- Supaul

Ranjeet Sah @ Ranjeet Kumar S/O Mishrilal Sah R/O Village-Hulas (Hulaas)
Ward no. 10, P.S-Raghopur, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pawan Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Anurag Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 12-08-2025 Heard Mr. Pawan Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and the State.

2. The petitioner is in judicial custody in connection with Jadiya P.S. Case No. 06 of 2025 for the offence punishable under Sections 69, 303(2), 79 of the Bhartiya Nayay Sanhita, 2023 lodged on 10.01.2025 by the informant, Rina Kumari.

3. As per the FIR, when the family members was out due to “Raksha Bandhan”, the brother-in-law of her husband came and on the pretext of marriage took her to an undisclosed place, sexually exploited her and also snatched ornaments. The photos were also captured and he threatened to make it viral. This led to delay in lodging of the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the “Raksha Bandhan” was on 30.08.2024, the FIR came to be



lodged on 10.01.2025 and the *alibi* given for these four months delay is not convincing. Further, she was already married, the petitioner too married and as such there cannot be any promise of marriage even accepting the case at best, it is fit to be a physical relationship between two major consenting parties, FIR is there, he shall be facing the trial, deserves bail.

5. The last submission is that though the allegation is of threatening to make the video viral, no such photo/video is on social media.

6. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that her husband has left the informant.

7. Considering the submissions of the parties as also the fact that there is inordinate delay in lodging of the FIR and no plausible explanation has been given, admittedly, both are major, the petitioner is in custody since 18.04.2025 and undertaken that he shall be diligently appearing in trial, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of bail with conditions.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Supaul, in connection with Jadiya P.S.



Case No. 06 of 2025 subject to the following conditions:

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document to show his bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

