

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58302 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-60 Year-2024 Thana- NAANPUR District- Sitamarhi

Chintu Kumar son of Umesh Rai Village -Mahisautha Khanua tola PS  
-Bokhra (Nanpur), Dist- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amrit Abhijat

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pranav Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      11-09-2025                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his  
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under  
Sections 363, 366A, 323, 504, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
the petitioner has antecedent of one case, but then, the said case  
was instituted by the present informant. It is next submitted that  
from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would  
manifest that informant alleges that his minor daughter aged  
about 17 years was kidnapped by the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the  
informant. It is next submitted that the petitioner and the victim



were in love. It is also submitted that though in the FIR it is alleged that victim is a minor aged about 17 years, but then, victim is a major. It is also submitted that petitioner and the victim eloped and they married. The victim came back her statement was recorded under Section 183 BNSS wherein she did not support the case of the prosecution. It is further submitted that even the learned trial Court released her on her own volition and thereafter, she came back to the petitioner where she is staying. It is further submitted that victim in her statement recorded under Section 183 BNSS has clearly stated that she was in love with the petitioner and they have married. It is next submitted that out of the wedlock, a child was born who presently is staying with the petitioner and the victim.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional District



& Sessions Judge-VI-cum- Special Court, POCSO, Sitamarhi in connection with Nanpur P. S. Case No.60 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

