

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53605 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-225 Year-2025 Thana- CHANDI District- Nalanda

Sugan Prasad @ Chhotu @ Premjeet Kumar Son of Kumud Narayan Resident
of village - Gunjarchak, Police Station - Chandi, District - Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 69, 74,
352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that Satish, on pretext of marriage, kept establishing
physical relations, further on 5-5-2025 the petitioner came to her
house and took her forcibly, along with Satish in a vehicle and
kept her in the house of Manju Devi and forcefully established
physical relations and snatched her mobile and jewellery and
even refused to marry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the thrust of allegation is against Satish that he established a forceful physical relation on the pretext of marriage. It is further submitted that during the course of investigation, the statement of the victim was recorded wherein she has not even remotely suggested that petitioner involved in establishing physical relations with her. It is also submitted that relationship in between informant and Satish was consensual and whenever such relationship sours, false allegations are alleged. It is next submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case



is pending/successor court in connection with Chandi P.S. Case No. 225 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event, if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

