

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19617 of 2021

Vishal Kumar, Son of Sri Ram Lakhan Prasad Singh, Resident of Navneet Colony, Hanuman Nagar, Kankarbagh, P.S.- Patrakar Nagar, District and Town- Patna, PIN- 800026.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar represented through Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department, Bihar, New Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
4. The Director, Secondary Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Regional Deputy Director, Patna Zone, Bihar, Patna.
6. The District Programme Officer, Education Department, Patna.
7. The District Programme Officer, Education Department, Bihar Sharif, Nalanda.
8. The Head- Master, Rajkiyakrit Marwari High School, Patna City, Patna.
9. The Head-Master, Mata Kasturba Balika Uchh Vidyalaya, Korawan, Islampur, Nalanda.
10. The Heads-Mistress, Bapu Samarak Balika Uchh Vidyalaya, Kadamkuan, Patna.
11. The Head-Master, Madandhari Balika Uchh Vidyalaya, Bikram, Patna.
12. The Accountant General of Bihar, Patna, Birchand Patel Path, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prabhakar Jha, GP-27

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 01-05-2025

Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is the son of the erstwhile employee, who superannuated from the post of Teacher on 28.02.2015 and subsequently died on 28.11.2020. Notwithstanding the fact that mother of the petitioner



superannuated long back in the year 2015 and died in the year 2020, the claim of retiral benefits and other dues has not been settled till date.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that to ventilate the grievance raised before this Court, the petitioner has approached before the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Patna as well as District Programme Officer (Establishment), Nalanda on various occasions, but till date the representation preferred by the petitioner has not even been considered and disposed off.

4. Learned Advocate for the State, at the outset, submitted that it is the fact that the husband of the erstwhile employee is still alive and the petitioner, who claims to be the son of the erstwhile employee, has approached this Court. Moreover from the record, it appears that the petitioner was asked to appear before the authority concerned along with the documents, but he did not bother to appear with the necessary documents.

5. Be that as it may, considering all the grievances and the fact that the petitioner has already approached before the concerned District Programme Officer (Establishment) for ventilating his grievance, in order to give quietus to the litigation, the present writ petition stands disposed off with a



liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation along with the necessary documents before the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Patna as well as District Programme Officer (Establishment), Nalanda at Bihar Sharif preferably within a period of four weeks from today along with the necessary documents and family details.

6. In case, such representation is filed by the petitioner along with the necessary documents, both the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Patna as well as District Programme Officer (Establishment), Nalanda at Bihar Sharif shall make deliberation and consider the claim of the petitioner and dispose off the same within a period of twelve weeks from the date of filing of such representation.

7. Suffice it to observe that in case the claim of the petitioner finds favour, necessary consequential order shall be passed within the aforesaid period.

8. With the aforesaid, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	03.05.2025
Transmission Date	

