

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57432 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-85 Year-2014 Thana- MUZFFARPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Hasnain Ahmad Rabbani S/o Late Abdul Ahad Mohalla/Vill.- Pakri Pakohi,
PS- Karja, Distt.- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Vigilance, through Superintendent of Police, Vigilance, Patna Bihar
3. Ramsuresh Prasad Singh Son of Late Ramanand Pd. Singh Resident of
Village- Gopidhanwat, Ps- Sariya, Dist- Muzaffarpur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Sanjay Parasmani, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh, APP
	Mr. Arvind Kumar, Law Officer, Vigilance

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 08-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the Vigilance Deptt.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Muzaffarpur Special Complaint Case No. 85 of 2024
punishable for the offence under Sections 7, 13(2) read with
13(1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, this petitioner, who
was working as Clerk, demanded Rs. 10,000/- from the
complainant by way of bribe for making payment of retiral
benefits. This petitioner assured complainant that the retiral
benefits would be paid to him within two days only after
payment of bribe money i.e. Rs. 10,000/-.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. The complainant retired on 31.01.2014 and it is alleged that on 12.02.2014, he met clerk, who demanded Rs. 10,000/-, and payment of complainant was made on 19.07.2014 and thereafter, the complaint petition was filed on 26.07.2014. This itself shows that complaint petition has been filed with *malafide* intention. He further submits that for the same allegation, against petitioner, a departmental proceeding was initiated, in which, petitioner was exonerated from all charges and the allegation of demand of bribe was found not substantiated. Petitioner claims clean antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the Vigilance Department and learned A.P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the above named petitioner, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge, Vigilance North Bihar, Muzaffarpur in connection with Special Complaint Case No. 85 of 2014,



subject to condition as laid down under Section 482 of the
Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

