

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53449 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-169 Year-2025 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

Raj Kumar Yadav @ Rajkumar Rai S/o Late Pulish Ray Resident of Village-
Singhara Tara, PS- Mahua, Dist- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anish Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 127(2), 132, 109, 351(2), 351(3), 352, 249, 121(1) of the B.N.S.S. & Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that accused of Kajipur Sadar P.S. Case No.57/2025 namely Suresh Rai was hiding in the house of his brother-in-law Raj Kumar Rai (petitioner) as such police reached the place of occurrence and apprehended Suresh Rai but all of a sudden petitioner and other accused persons assembled and started abusing the police party and someone fired from the roof as such the police in retaliation had to fire, in defence to save the police party.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated the instant case. It is next submitted that since Suresh Rai is brother of the wife of the petitioner as such petitioner came to be implicated. It is further submitted that Suresh Rai had come to the house of the petitioner not to seek shelter but to meet his sister when the police came and occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is also submitted that petitioner is not alleged to have fired and is a person with clean antecedent and will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No.169/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.



7. It is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner despite giving assurance to this court is not co-operating in the investigation in that event the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

