

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60269 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-380 Year-2023 Thana- RAMGARH District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

Chandan Yadav, S/O- Tufani Yadav Resident Of Village- Babedaha Ps-
Ramgarh District-Kaimur Bhabua

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jay Prakash Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Madhuri Lata
Mr. Tribhuwan Narayan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of
the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 498(A) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 4 of the D. P. Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that his daughter was married to Sonu Yadav in the Year 2015. After marriage, the accused persons including the petitioner started torturing her for dowry and used to confine her in a room, but victim silently suffered the torture. Further,



on 29.09.2023, the accused killed his daughter by strangulating.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner, being brother in law of the deceased, has been falsely implicated in the case by the informant based on suspicion. It is next submitted that informant is not an eye witness to the occurrence. It is also submitted that even allegation of dowry is vague as the FIR does not disclose that what was being demanded by the accused persons by way of dowry from the victim. It is further submitted that the marriage of the deceased was performed with Sonu in the Year 2015 and in between 2015 till 2023, no case ever came to be instituted either by the informant or the victim alleging torture. It is further submitted that during the course of post mortem, no external or internal injury was found on the body of the deceased and the petitioner on the date of occurrence was at Hyderabad.

5. Learned A.P.P. as well as the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail



on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Mohania, Kaimur at Bhabhua in connection with Ramgarh P. S. Case No.380 of 2023, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

