

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53491 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-36 Year-2024 Thana- Karnamepur District- Bhojpur

Ramjee Thakur S/o- Baijnath Thakur Resident of Village- Bhual Chhapra  
(Nauranga), P.S.- Bairiya, District- Ballia (UP)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhavesh Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Shakir Ahmad, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      12-09-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in  
connection with Karnamepur P.S. Case No. 36 of 2024 dated  
30.09.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of  
the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 338.40 litres of  
illicit foreign liquor was recovered from the Bolero vehicle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted  
that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in  
this case. The petitioner is neither the driver nor the owner of  
the said vehicle as stated in para-7 of the bail petition. Local  
Chowkidar disclosed the name of the petitioner. The petitioner



has no concern with the alleged recovery. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The co-accused person has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 08.07.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 15792 of 2025. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender



within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned, Bhojpur, Ara in connection with Karnamepur P.S. Case No. 36 of 2024, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

Shivam/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

