

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53033 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-48 Year-2025 Thana- EXCISE ROSERA District- Samastipur

Sumit Kumar @ Sumit Kumar Das S/O Ram Sudhar Das @ Ramsudhar Das
R/o Panchupur, P.S.- Rosera, Distt.- Samsatipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhav Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 06-08-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per prosecution case, 117 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from a poultry farm of which this petitioner is owner.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because he happens to be owner of the poultry farm in question. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of this petitioner. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.



5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that huge quantity of liquor was recovered from the poultry farm of which this petitioner is owner.

6. Considering the nature of accusation and the fact that huge quantity of illicit liquor was recovered from the poultry farm of which this petitioner is owner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Neha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

