

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 55236 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-58 Year-2025 Thana- HALSI District- Lakhisarai

1. Ritesh Yadav S/o- Binod Yadav Village- Lahuara P.s. Halsi District- Lakhisarai
2. Aklesh Kumar S/o- Binod Yadav Village- Lahuara P.s. Halsi District- Lakhisarai
3. Chhotu Kumar S/o- Binod Yadav Village- Lahuara P.s. Halsi District- Lakhisarai
4. Chunchun Yadav S/o- Nandan Yadav Village- Lahuara P.s. Halsi District- Lakhisarai
5. Nunulal Yadav S/o- Nandan Yadav Village- Lahuara P.s. Halsi District- Lakhisarai
6. Sudhir Yadav S/o- Nandan Yadav Village- Lahuara P.s. Halsi District- Lakhisarai
7. Rajeev Kumar S/o- Dwarika Yadav Village- Lahuara P.s. Halsi District- Lakhisarai
8. Batoran Yadav S/o- Phagu Yadav Village- Lahuara P.s. Halsi District- Lakhisarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mayank Bilochan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 27-08-2025 Heard Mr. Mayank Bilochan, learned counsel

 appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Choubey

 Jawahar, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection
with Halsi P.S. Case No. 58 of 2025 registered for the
offence(s) punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 352,



303(2), 3(5), 109(1) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, all the accused persons including the petitioners who named in the FIR have assaulted the son of the informant and his family member.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel further submitted that there is case and counter case between the parties and case bearing Halsi P.S. Case No. 59 of 2025 has been lodged by the petitioners' side against the informant and others and in self-defence, the petitioners may have caused some injury to the son of the informant and his family member. The petitioners have clean antecedent. On these grounds, the petitioners seek to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Considering the nature of allegation made in the FIR, as well as, the fact that there is case and counter case between the parties and in self-defence, petitioners may have caused some injury to the son of the informant and his family member. The petitioners are having clean antecedent, I am of the opinion that petitioners have, *prima facie*, made out a case to



be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M.-Ist Class, Lakhisarai in connection with Halsi P.S. Case No. 58 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 of BNS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph No.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Ashishsingh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

