

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53525 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-189 Year-2025 Thana- PATLIPUTRA District- Patna

=====

Vicky Anand S/o Sunil Kumar Singh R/o- Lalganj Manpur, P.S.-Lalganj,
District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Saket Tiwary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shantanu Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA

ORAL ORDER

2 12-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Patliputra P.S. Case No.189 of 2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 126(2), 127(2), 127(6), 115(2),
140(3), 308(5), 3(5) of BNS 2023.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent.

4. The case of the prosecution as per the FIR is that
the informant received a call from his friend Suhail Khan who
told him to receive some gift from his girlfriend from Zudio
Mall, Bailey Road, which Suhail Khan would collect from the



informant after returning to Patna. The informant along with his friend went to the place but did not find any girl but allegedly two boys caught and assaulted both of them and took them on a motorcycle to one hotel where other accused persons were present. The informant further alleges that all the five boys started beating them and told that the friend of the informant had purchased a motorcycle for ₹ 1,40,000 out of which 25,000 was yet to be paid by the friend of the informant and hence the informant and his friend was made to call their father and ask to bring Rs.25,000 each, upon which the father of the informant called the police and the informant was rescued. One amongst the five boys accused of committing this offence happens to be the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has no criminal antecedent. The petitioner is aged about 19 years and studying at Rabindranath Tagore University, Madhyapradesh. He further submits that the story as narrated in the FIR, on the face of it appears to be improbable. He further submits that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner and what has been alleged in the FIR is that he was one amongst the five accused persons. Learned counsel for the



petitioner further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 27.04.2025 and the investigation has been completed and charge has been filed and therefore no purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner in custody any further.

6. On the other hand, learned APP appearing for the State opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the fact that the petitioner has clean antecedent, further considering the fact that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner as he has been roped in merely because he happens to be one amongst the five accused persons and also given the fact that charge sheet in this case has already been filed and no purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner in prolong custody. For all these reasons, I consider appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. The petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Patliputra P.S. Case No.189 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative.



(ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.

(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates without substantial reason or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.

(iv) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.

(v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bond in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

9. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is allowed.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Prakash Narayan

U		T	
---	--	---	--

