

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1871 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-21 Year-2011 Thana- PIPRA District- Supaul

Sujit Kumar Singh Son of Late Bodh Narayan Singh Resident of Village -Nirmali, Police Station- Pipra, District-Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1. The state of Bihar through its Secretary, Home Department, Bihar, Patna Bihar
- 2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna Bihar
- 3. The District Magistrate, Supaul Bihar
- 4. The Superintendent of Police, Supaul Bihar
- 5. The officer in Charge, Pipra Police Station, District-Supaul Bihar
- 6. Investigating Officer of Pipra Police Station Case No 21/2011, Pipra Police Station, District-Supau Bihar
- 7. The Officerin Charge, Kishanpur Police Station District Supaul Bihar
- 8. Anil Kumar Mehta S/o Ram Lakhan Mehta Resident of Village -Nirmali, Police Station-Pipra, District-Supaul.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar Singh, Adv.
Fro the State : Mr. Iqbal Asif Niazi, AC to GP-5
For the Informant : Mr. Bal Krishna Mishra, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-11-2025 The present petition has been filed for quashing Pipra P.S. Case No. 21 of 2011 registered for the offences under Section 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 325, 307, 379, 448, 504 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

02. Learned counsel for the petitioner on specific query from the Court about the present stage of trial before the learned trial court submits that the case has been running at the stage of prosecution evidence. This query was necessitated

considering Annexure-P3 which is an order of a Coordinate Bench dated 29.08.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 51845 of 2015. Since the matter has proceeded and prosecution evidence has started in the case arising out of Pipra P.S. Case No. 21 of 2011, the petitioner has approached this Court after much delay. Whatever might be the grievance of the petitioner against registration of FIR against him, the petitioner could have agitated the same on coming to know about registration of FIR and not after delay of 14 years of institution of FIR. Clearly, the present writ could not be entertained on the ground of delay and laches and hence, the same is dismissed as such.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Anuradha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--