

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54291 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-158 Year-2022 Thana- MATIHANI District- Begusarai

Satya Narayan Yadav @ Bokan Yadav @ Bokana Yadav S/O Late Jugal Yadav @ Yugal Yadav Resident of village- Chakour, P.S.- Matihani, District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sarvottam Kumar, Advocate Mrs. Asmita Bharti, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 03-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant.

02. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Matihani P.S. Case No. 158 of 2022 registered for the alleged offences under Sections 307/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

03. As per prosecution case, the petitioner and other co-accused persons, who were variously armed, opened fire on the informant who fled away from the spot. One of the shots hit one Nigam Kumar, who took the name of the petitioner before the informant to whom he identified as one of his assailants.

04. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case



at the instance of the informant. In fact, the injured has lodged Matihani P.S. Case No. 159 of 2022 wherein the present informant of Matihani P.S. Case No. 158 of 2022 has been named for being the assailant of the injured Nigam Kumar. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner and others. The injured gave an application in the court of learned Judicial Magistrate, Begusarai, mentioning the fact that there has been political rivalry between the injured and the informant of the present case. Therefore, apparently the allegations against the petitioner are false and concocted. The informant is having antecedent of 17 cases of serious nature whereas the petitioner is having antecedent of 07 cases and he is on bail in all such cases. The petitioner is in custody since 23.05.2025 and charge-sheet has been submitted.

05. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant vehemently oppose the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the informant submits that the FIR of Matihani P.S. Case No. 159 of 2022 has been instituted by the injured under coercion and he gave an interview to media that the petitioner opened fire upon him. Learned counsel further submits that the police investigated the matter and found the allegation in Matihani P.S. Case No. 158 of 2022 to be true against the petitioner.



06. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the doubtful nature of allegation against the petitioner and also considering the period of custody of the petitioner along with submission of charge-sheet, the petitioner above named is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Begusarai/court concerned in connection with Matihani P.S. Case No. 158 of 2022, subject to the conditions mentioned in Section 480(3) of BNSS and the following conditions:

- (i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.
- (ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below.
- (iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Ashish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

