

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54461 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-185 Year-2025 Thana- BABUBARHI District- Madhubani

Ram Babu Saday Son of Ramdev Saday Resident of village - Khojpur
Mushari, P.S.- Babubarhi, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Kumari Pallavi, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 19-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Babubarhi P.S. Case No. 185 of 2025, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 80, 238 and 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, daughter of the informant has been done to death by her in-laws including the petitioner for non-fulfillment of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case.



Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner has been implicated in this case as being husband of the deceased. No specific allegation has been attributed against the petitioner rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. It is further submitted that no any demand of dowry has been made by the petitioner and the deceased has died due to Diarrhoea. The petitioner is in custody since 17.05.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of committing murder of the deceased for non-fulfillment of dowry and thereafter burnt her body. It is further submitted that witnesses at paragraph nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 13 and 14 have supported the prosecution case. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial. However, if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production, the



petitioner will have liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the
Court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

