

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55464 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-115 Year-2025 Thana- Kadirganj P.S. District- Nawada

Pinki Kumari S/o Ranjeet Kumar R/o Vill- Kumahartoli, Painpur, P.S.-
Kadirganj, Distt- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sabal Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mrs.Pushpa Sinha, APP
For the informant	:	Mr. Anand Raj, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 12-08-2025 Heard Mr. Sabal Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Mr. Anand Raj representing the informant as also the State.

2. The petitioner is in judicial custody in connection with Kadirganj P.S. Case No. 115 of 2025 for the offence punishable under Sections 303(2), 318(2), 319(2), 318(4), 336(2), 336(3), 340(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nayay Sanhita, 2023 lodged on 13.04.2025 by the informant, Rajesh Kumar Gupta.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that he deposited Rs. 2,00,000/- for one year in the Post Office through the agent (petitioner herein), when the maturity period came, it was informed that no such money has been deposited



and the forged and fabricated entries have been made. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though she was authorized agent, work was handed over by the husband, Ranjit Kumar who has been charged in number of such matters. Further, to show her bona fide, the lady is ready to return the amount but for the present, till she comes out may not be able to manage the same. The submission is that:

(i) she is ready to pay Rs.50,000/- through Bank Draft issued in the name of the informant at the time of execution of bail bond;

(ii) Rs.50,000/- each for next three months (totaling Rs.1,50,000/-) which shall be paid by 20th September, 20th October and 20th November, 2025.

5. The submission is that in case the petitioner defaults, the other side shall be free to take steps for the cancellation of her bail bonds.

6. Learned counsel for the informant though opposes the prayer submits that if she ready to pay the amount, the petitioner being the lady, in that background, for the present, he



has no objection.

7. Taking into account the aforesaid facts, though allegation is grave against the petitioner that she accepted the amount but as an agent defaulted the same in depositing it to the Post Office, as a result whereof, the money could not return to the informant, now she has changed herself and is ready to pay the amount, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend her the privilege of bail with the rider that she will have to abide by the conditions recorded in para-4 of the present order and failure to do so and/or failure to pay the amount of Rs. 2,00,000/-, the informant shall be free to take steps for the cancellation of her bail bonds.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Nawada in connection with Kadirganj P.S. Case No. 115 of 2025 subject to the following conditions:

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document to show his bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive



dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

