

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12594 of 2025

=====

Pankaj Singh Son of Gaya Singh, resident of village- Sir Koihiya, P.O.-
Jaitpur, P.S.- Saraya, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Rural Works, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Superintending Engineer, Rural Works Department, Work Circle, Muzaffarpur.
3. The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Works Division, Muzaffarpur West.
4. The Additional Chief Executive Officer-cum-Secretary, Rural Works Department, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raju Kumar, Advocate.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Advocate (09)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

2 13-08-2025 Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. This writ petition has been filed for the following

relief(s):-

“(i) To issue an appropriate order/s, direction/s including a writ preferably in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing upon the respondents to make the payment of a sum of Rs. 13,74,024/- (Thirteen Lakh Seventy Four thousand Twenty four only) to the petitioner for completion of work of maintenance of Road from Nawada to Sangrampur since the work has been completed by the petitioner pursuant to agreement No. 24MBD/17-18.



(ii) To direct the respondents to make the allotment of fund of Rs. 3,91,74,524/- so that payment should be made to the petitioner vide letter No. 804 dated 31.05.2024 of the respondents.

(iii) To direct the respondents to make the payment of above-mentioned admitted dues of the petitioner with penal interest on account of delay and latches on the part of respondents.”

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has stated that after completion of the works entrusted to the petitioner, the petitioner has submitted the bills but till date the same has not been paid. Learned counsel has stated that the petitioner has given representations dated 23.04.2024 (Annexure P/4) and a direction may be given to the authority concerned to consider the same and make the necessary payments in accordance with law.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents has stated that he has no objection if a direction is given to the concerned authority to consider the representation made by the petitioner strictly in accordance with law.

5. Having regard to the above made submissions, the present CWJC is disposed of directing the Respondent No. 3 herein is directed to consider the said representation made by the petitioner as expeditiously as possible preferably within a



period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. In case the claim of the petitioner is found to be genuine, the Respondent No. 3 shall take necessary steps for making the payment of the amount due to the petitioner. In case the authority concerned rejects the claim of the petitioner, he shall pass a reasoned order, giving reasons for the same.

6. It is needless to mention that before passing any orders, the petitioner shall be given an opportunity of hearing. Any order passed shall be communicated to the petitioner.

7. With the above directions, the present CWJC is disposed of.

(A. Abhishek Reddy, J)

shakir/-

U			
---	--	--	--

