

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57215 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-20 Year-2011 Thana- BARACHATTI District- Gaya

Subodh Paswan Son of Bindeshwar Paswan Resident of Village - Atopur, P.S.
- Gurua, District - Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madan Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

6 27-06-2025 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner. Earlier the
bail application of the petitioner was rejected *vide* order dated
11.10.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 51557 of 2023.

3. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered
for the offence under Sections 396 of the Indian Penal Code.

4. The following order was passed on 11.10.2023 in
Cr. Misc. No. 51557 of 2023 :-

*Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Ajit Kumar, learned APP for
the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks bail in
connection with Barachatti P.S. Case No. 20 of
2011 registered for the offence punishable under
Section 396 of the Indian Penal Code.*

*3. The allegation against the
petitioner is of committing murder, while
committing dacoity alongwith other co-accused
persons.*

*4. Learned counsel for the
petitioner submits that the petitioner is not
named in the FIR and his name transpired on the
basis of confessional statement of apprehended*



co-accused persons. The petitioner is in custody since 02.11.2022. Learned counsel submits that the materials have come during investigation to connect the petitioner with the crime. The petitioner has criminal antecedent of similar nature. The crime has been committed on the national highway.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the totality of circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner though some co-accused have been granted bail.

7. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

8. The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

5. In the trial two, out of seven charge-sheeted witnesses, have been examined.

6. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is hereby rejected.

7. The A.D.J., 1st , Sherghati, Gaya, will ensure that the trial is not delayed.

8. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Gaya, and S.D.P.O., Sherghati, Gaya, will ensure the attendance of the remaining witnesses.

9. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gaya, through FAX for its compliance forthwith.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

