

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53666 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-180 Year-2025 Thana- RAFIGANJ District- Aurangabad

=====

Ramu Kumar S/O Bishmohan Paswan R/O Vill.- Simwan, P.S.-Rafiganj,
Dist.- Aurangabad (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aman Vishal, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajiv Nayan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Section 109(1) of
the BNS, 2023 read with Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that petitioner in a drunken condition came and fired at
her son Sonu, but the shot misfired, in the meantime Rinki
(sister of petitioner) along with Deepak came and they tried
taking away petitioner from the place of occurrence, when a
shot was fired causing firearm injury on the ankle of Rinki.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the



informant who is mother of Sonu. It is next submitted that the date of occurrence is 24.04.2025 and the FIR came to be instituted on 26.04.2025 without any plausible explanation for the delay. It is further submitted that from side of the petitioner, Rafiganj P.S. Case No. 179 of 2025 dated 25.04.2025 has been instituted against Sonu (son of the present informant) by Rinki alleging that she had come to attend the wedding of the petitioner when Sonu came to the house and started abusing the petitioner herein and thereafter fired causing firearm injury to the informant i.e. Rinki. It is submitted that Rafiganj P.S. Case No. 179 of 2025 was instituted on 25.04.2025 by Rinki and it was thereafter that the instant FIR came to be instituted by the informant to save her son, Sonu. It is next submitted that this perhaps explains why the instant FIR came to be instituted after two days of the occurrence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Rafiganj P.S. Case No. 180 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

