

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65911 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-169 Year-2013 Thana- KHAIRA District- Jamui

Sapan Manjhi @ Sapan Santhal @ Talo Hembram, aged about 40 years, (M),
S/o Manjhala @ Manjhala Hembram @ Manjhala Soren, Resident of Village -
Chilkarwar, P.S- Charakapathar, Sono, Distt.- Jamui

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Amrendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : Mr. Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 13-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Khaira P.S. Case No. 169 of 2013 (S.T. No. 117 of 2022) dated 20.09.2013 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 353, 326, 307 and 302 of the I.P.C., Section 27 of the Arms Act and Sections 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 of the U.A.P. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner along with other members of Naxalites exploded the under constructed building near *Parasi More*. It is further alleged by the informant that when he reached at the place of occurrence along with other police personnel, the co-accused persons started firing on the



police party, due to which, one STF Jawan died and two police constables sustained severe injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. There is no specific allegation or overtact against the petitioner in the present case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. The petitioner was not arrested on the spot. No T.I. Parade has been conducted to ascertain the real culprit of the present case. The other co-accused person, namely, Jairam Murmu @ Suram Murmu, has been granted bail by a Bench of this Court vide order dated 22.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. no. 62290 of 2025. The petitioner has six criminal antecedents in which he is on bail as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 22.11.2021.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District and Sessions Judge-V, Jamui in connection with Khaira P.S. Case No. 169 of 2013 (S.T. No. 117 of 2022) with further condition:-

(I) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

