

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55780 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-110 Year-2024 Thana- PAUTHU District- Aurangabad

Dharmendra Yadav son of Gopal Yadav Village- Achuki Tola Bhrkaul PS-
Pauthu District -Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhaskar Shankar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 3 12-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Pauthu
P.S. Case No.110/2024, registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 103(1), 80, 3(5) of the B.N.S.S.
3. The defect as pointed out by the office is hereby
ignored.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner being husband of the deceased has been falsely
implicated in the instant case and is in custody since
02.06.2025. It is further submitted that no doubt the deceased
died within 7 years of marriage but then all deaths are not dowry
death. It is next submitted that the postmortem of the dead body
of the deceased was carried out and the cause of death as



recorded in the postmortem report is asphyxia, on account of hanging. It is thus submitted that deceased committed suicide. It is also submitted that during the course of investigation, it transpired that petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence rather was at Nagpur, where he was doing a private job. It is submitted that petitioner at Nagpur, was earning about Rs.5000-6000/- a month, as such, the family was facing financial crunch.

5. The learned APP vehemently opposes the regular bail application of the petitioner and submits that petitioner is in custody since 02.06.2025. It is also submitted that it does not appear probable that a person who had gone outside the state to earn would earn Rs.5000-6000/- a month and that too at Nagpur. It is next submitted that what is not disputed rather stands admitted is that death was within seven years of marriage and the postmortem report records that cause of death as asphyxia on account of hanging but then it is submitted that petitioner being husband was responsible for the well-being of his wife, even if he was not present at the place of occurrence then also the husband created condition conducive for the deceased to take the extreme step of ending her life. It is further submitted that from perusal of the case diary, it appears that even charges



till date has not been framed.

6. Considering the submission made by the learned APP Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

7. The bail application of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

