

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57092 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-85 Year-2024 Thana- ROSERA District- Samastipur

Ranjeet Kumar @ Ranjeet Yadav Son of Bechan Yadav Resident of Malhipur
PS -Hasanpur, Dist- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amit Ranjan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 13-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Rosera
P.S. Case No. 85 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable
under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, three
unknown miscreants looted Rs. 3,88,210/- from the informant
and fled away from the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that
the petitioner is not named in the FIR. The name of the
petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of the



confessional statement of the co-accused. Nothing incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner or from his house. No T.I. parade has been conducted in this case. The petitioner is in custody since 09.07.2024 and has got seven criminal antecedents.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners again submits that the co-accused namely Bimlesh Kumar Chaurasiya has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 12.11.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 50013 of 2024.

6. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged is serious in nature and the petitioner bears criminal antecedents.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail ***after framing of charge, if not already framed*** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Rosera P.S. Case No. 85 of 2024, subject to



the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

