

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54778 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-64 Year-2025 Thana- MITHANPURA District- Muzaffarpur

Saket Ranjan S/O Shiv Kumar Prasad Resident of Village- Mandachh, P.S.-
Noorsarai, Distt.- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 03-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4),
338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2) of the B.N.S.S.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that the petitioner along with the contractor, based on
fake signature of the Headmaster of the concerned school on the
bill related to submersible boring, bench and desk etc. obtained
payment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case without



holding a proper inquiry. It is next submitted that had petitioner been given an opportunity of hearing to explain his side of the case perhaps the instant FIR would not have been instituted. It is next submitted that petitioner is a Junior Engineer. It is also submitted that the contractor had completed the work and had requested the Headmaster to submit the bill as per departmental order. It is next submitted that it was the Headmaster who submitted the bill but in the FIR it is being alleged that based on a fake bill, the payment was obtained. The learned counsel next submits that petitioner being Junior Engineer is aware of the consequences which would entail in the event if it is found that petitioner was also indulged in the occurrence. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Mithanpura P.S.Case No.64/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

