

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53102 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-302 Year-2024 Thana- DHAKA District- East Champaran

Firoz Ansari @ Firoz Hussain S/o Naushad @ Naushad Hussain R/o Village -
Lahan Dhaka, P.S - Dhaka, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 01-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Dhaka P.S. Case No. 302 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 329(4), 324(4), 326(g), 115(2), 109, 132, 221, 121(1), 121(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, read with Sections 3 and 4 of Damage to Public Property Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the accused persons including the petitioner, enraged after a deceased person was declared dead at the hospital, and they have allegedly assaulted medical staff and set an ambulance on fire. Later on, their identification was established through video footage.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of CCTV footage and no T.I. parade has been conducted in this case. It is next submitted that the petitioner is a resident of a place nearby to the place of occurrence, as such, he, out of inquisitiveness, went to the place of occurrence as ruckus was being created and came to be implicated in this case. The petitioner is in custody since 24.05.2025 and has got one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that similarly situated co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 03.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 18419 of 2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Dhaka P.S. Case No. 302 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

