

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52146 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-508 Year-2025 Thana- DANAPUR District- Patna

=====

PANCHAM KUMAR @ PANCHAM YADAV S/O Vijendra Yadav @  
Bijendra Ray R/O VILL.- SAGUNA KHADA KUA, WARD NO. 12, P.S.-  
DANAPUR, DIST.- PATNA, BIHAR

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR PATNA

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Kant Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dilip Kumar No. 1

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3      03-09-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 508/2025 dated 18.05.2025 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 126(2), 308(2), 352, 351(2), 308(4), 324(4) read with section 3(5) of the BNS and section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the co-accused persons along with 8-10 unknown miscreants kept an eye on the house of the informant and suddenly at the midnight, they started firing outside her house, pelting stones and bricks on the door of her house and demanded Rs. Five lakhs as Rangadari failing which they would not allow to run



her school. Thereafter, the informant called police and the petitioner was apprehended and other accused persons fled away from there. The informant also suspects that the petitioner inflicted knife blow to Manish Kumar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. There is nothing against the petitioner except suspicion. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The allegation against the petitioner is only of demanding Rangadari. The petitioner has five criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 19.05.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Danapur, Patna in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 508/2025 , with the condition/s:-

(i). The petitioner is directed to remain physically



present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner are liable to be cancelled.

(ii). If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

7. The application stands allowed.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

guddukr/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

