

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58503 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-138 Year-2024 Thana- ATRI District- Gaya

-
1. Subodh Singh @ Subodh Kumar son of Brijnandan Singh Vill -Panday
Bigha PS- Atri Dist -Gaya
 2. Kanhaiya Jee @ Kanhaiya Kumar son of Pawan Kumar @ Pawan Singh Vill
-Panday Bigha PS- Atri Dist -Gaya

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Priya Ranjan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party	:	Mr. Umanath Mishra, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 12-11-2025 Heard Mr. Priya Ranjan, learned Advocate for the

petitioners and Mr. Umanath Mishra, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection
with Atri P.S. Case No. 138 of 2024, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 341, 323, 427, 379, 307 and 34 of the
Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The informant, who is said to be the Manager of
Maharani Services, engaged in settlement of sand ghat, has
instituted the present FIR with an allegation that the petitioners
along with six other FIR named and 10 unknown persons came
to the office of the informant and damaged the vehicles which
were parked there. There is further allegation that the accused



persons also snatched 40,000.00 rupees and made firing.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioners submitted that only because of the fact that the petitioner No.1 and 2 having some criminal antecedents, their names have been implicated in this case on account of suspicion. All the more, there is omnibus nature of allegation against eight named accused persons including the petitioners and ten unknown persons without there being making any specific overt act. It is the admitted position that in the said occurrence none has sustained any injury. However, later on the good sense prevailed and the parties have also compromised the matter and they do not want to proceed further.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that besides the active participation petitioner No.1 bears one criminal antecedent; whereas petitioner No. 2 is facing six criminal cases over his head.

6. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the nature of allegations coupled with the fact that the parties have later on settled the dispute, let the petitioners abovenamed be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below



within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya, in connection with Atri P.S. Case No. 138 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioners.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Pawan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

