

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53353 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-345 Year-2024 Thana- BIKRAM District- Patna

=====

Raj Kumar Sao, S/o Devnandan Prasad, R/o Village- Kali Mandir, Harnaut,
P.S.- Harnaut, Distt.- Nalanda at Biharsharif. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocates
Ms.Divya Bharti, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jagdhar Prasad, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Ayush, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 26-09-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Bikram
P.S. Case No. 345/2024, registered for the offence under Sections
127(2), 115(2), 308(5), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of
the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita (BNS), 2023.

3. The accused/petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and is
in custody since 28.04.2025.

4. The allegation against the petitioner is to demand an
extortion of Rs. 10 lacs from the informant and also to assault him
by using butt of pistol.

5. Mr. N.K. Agrawal, learned senior counsel while
arguing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner and
informant were known to each other since last ten years of the



alleged occurrence. It is pointed out that petitioner runs the flour mill in Deoghar and transferred Rs. 5 lacs to the informant for purchase of wheat on 08.02.2024 through R.T.G.S. from the account of his firm, namely, M/s Prince Raj Traders. Petitioner also had given cash of Rs. 5 lacs to the informant. It is submitted that despite of repeated request and even after taking the best efforts of the petitioner, the informant returned only money of Rs. 85,000/- to the petitioner on 20.03.2024 and Rs. 5000/- on 14.07.2024 i.e. total of Rs. 90,000/-. It is pointed out that when repeated request was made by petitioner for balance amount, the present false case was lodged. It is also submitted by Mr. Agrawal that the injury report of the informant suggest that he received simple injury during the course of occurrence. While concluding the argument, it is submitted that investigation of this case is already completed, for which charge-sheet has been submitted, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence and, moreover, petitioner found involved in three more criminal cases, where he is on bail

6. Learned APP opposed the prayer of bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as *prima facie* petitioner appears acquainted with the informant out of business/financial relation, coupled with



the fact that investigation of this case is already completed, where petitioner remains in custody since 28.04.2025, accordingly, petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail in connection with Bikram P.S. Case No. 345/2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Danapur, Patna/concerned Court, subject to the conditions as mentioned under Section 437(3) of the Cr.P.C./Section 480(3) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

